

PKS2250-41 AND THE ROLE OF JET CLOUD INTERACTIONS IN POWERFUL RADIO GALAXIES.

M.VILLAR-MARTÍN

*Dept. of Physics, University of Sheffield
Sheffield S3 7RH, UK*

C.TADHUNTER

*Dept. of Physics, University of Sheffield
Sheffield S3 7RH, UK*

R. MORGANTI

*Istituto di Radioastronomia
Via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy*

D. AXON

*Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester
Oxford Road, Manchester, UK*

AND

A. KOEKEMOER

*Space Telescope Science Institute
3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD21218, USA*

1. Abstract

We have studied the effects of the interaction between the radio jet and the ambient gas in the powerful radio galaxy PKS2250-41 ($z=0.31$). Our results show that the gas has been accelerated, compressed, heated and collisionally ionized by the shock. This study helps us to understand the processes which determine the observed properties of many high redshift radio galaxies.

2. Introduction

The observed properties of radio galaxies at $z > 0.7$ are very different to those at low redshift. The extended optical structures (line emission and continuum) are closely aligned with the radio axis and often highly collimated. Although several explanations are popular to explain this alignment

effect [1,2], the interaction between the radio jet and the ambient gas is certainly playing a role. In spite of the much observational evidence for such interactions, not only in distant radio galaxies, but also in some closer radio galaxies and Seyferts, the physics involved is not well understood. We are carrying out a program to study a sample of low-intermediate redshift ($z < 0.4$) radio galaxies undergoing jet-cloud interactions, in order to study in detail how the interaction happens and its consequences for the observed properties of the objects.

We present here results of the study of PKS2250-41, a radio galaxy in which a very strong interaction is taking place between the radio jet and an arc-like structure to the W of the main galaxy [3,4].

3. Observations

Optical spectra were obtained with the RGO spectrograph at AAT (AAO). The slit was located at different positions parallel and perpendicular to the radio axis so that the whole arc (the region where the interaction is strongest) was covered. By fitting the emission lines, we have isolated the different kinematic components and have studied their kinematic, flux and physical properties. Our goal is to discriminate the dominant physical mechanism for each gaseous component.

4. Results

Kinematics and ionization level

The kinematics are complex across the arc. The [OIII] λ 5007,4959 and H β lines split into several kinematic components for which FWHM, flux and [OIII] λ 5007/H β were studied. The two main components are:

A *broad component* characterized by:

- morphological association with the radio lobe;
- large line widths (FWHM $\sim$ 500-900 km s $^{-1}$);
- line width and flux peak at the position of the radio hot spot;
- low ionization level ([OIII]/H β $\sim$ 1-3).

A *narrow component* characterized by:

- its presence across the whole surface of the arc and far beyond the edge of the radio lobe;
- small line widths (FWHM $\sim$ 60-200 km s $^{-1}$);
- relatively high ionization level ([OIII]/H β $\sim$ 4-9);

Electronic Temperature

We have used the measured $\frac{[\text{OIII}]\lambda 5007}{[\text{OIII}]\lambda 4363}$ ratios to calculate the electronic temperatures for the narrow and broad components [5]. The equivalent

width of the [OIII] λ 4363 line is large in the regions of interest and systematic effects related to the subtraction of the underlying stellar continuum are not a significant source of error.

We measure $\frac{[\text{OIII}]\lambda 5007}{[\text{OIII}]\lambda 4363} = 50 \pm 20$ for the narrow component and 13 ± 4 for the broad component, which yield $T_e = 15,000^{+1300}_{-1000}$ and $41,000^{+10,000}_{-8,000}$ respectively. Therefore, *the gas emitting the broad component is hotter.*

Density

We have used the [SII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6716,6731 doublet to calculate the electronic densities for the narrow and broad components [5]. We have assumed the T_e values calculated in the previous section. We measure $\frac{[\text{SII}]\lambda 6716}{[\text{SII}]\lambda 6731} = 1.47 \pm 0.19$ for the narrow component and 1.13 ± 0.08 for the broad component, which yield $n_e < 120$ and $n_e = 570^{+260}_{-190}$ respectively. Therefore, *the gas emitting the broad component is denser.*

Ionization mechanism

Two main mechanisms can ionize the gas: 1) AGN (active nucleus) photoionization 2) Shocks via collisional ionization of the gas and further radiative cooling and via the generation of a strong ionizing UV continuum. Which mechanism is responsible for the emission of the narrow and the broad components resolved in PKS2250-41?

The diagnostic diagram [OIII] λ 5007/ λ 4363 vs. HeII/H β provides a strong method to discriminate between AGN and shock models [3]. We have compared the measured values for the line ratios of the broad and narrow components with the model predictions (see Fig. 1). Notice the clear separation between the two kinematic components due to the low [OIII] λ 5007/ λ 4363 (high T_e) and faintness of the HeII emission of the broad component. The AGN photoionization models predict too high [OIII]5007/4363 ratios (*i.e.* low electron temperatures) and do not appear in the diagram. Adding matter bounded models can make the position of the narrow component consistent with AGN models [6]. However these cannot explain the line ratios of the broad component, which on the other hand is consistent with shock (radiative cooling) models. Therefore, the line ratios of the broad component suggest that it *represents collisionally ionized gas which is cooling behind a shock front.* The line ratios of the narrow component suggest that it *has been ionized by a strong UV continuum* (AGN and/or shock generated).

5. Discussion

Hydrodynamical models for an advancing jet in a gaseous medium show that the shocked gas is compressed and accelerated and the temperature raised. The gas will be collisionally ionized and will then cool radiatively.

All the properties of the broad component are consistent with it being shocked gas: Higher temperature and density $\rightarrow$ heating and compression.




Figure 1. $[\text{OIII}]\lambda 5007/[\text{OIII}]\lambda 4363$ vs. $\text{HeII}/\text{H}\beta$. The broad (open circle) and the narrow (solid triangle) components are clearly separated in this diagram. Dashed lines: shock models (shocked gas cooling radiatively). Dotted lines: Shock models (adding the contribution of ionization by the UV shock continuum).

Low ionization level $\rightarrow$ compression and/or shock ionization. High velocities $\rightarrow$ acceleration. Faint HeII and high temperature $\rightarrow$ shock ionization. On the other hand, the narrow component is probably ambient gas whose properties can be explained in terms of pure gravitational motions and photoionization by a hard continuum source. This gas has not interacted with the radio jet.

6. Conclusions

We have studied the effects of the interactions between the radio jet and the ambient gas in PKS2250-41. The results provide clues to understanding the observed properties of many distant radio galaxies.

We have resolved kinematically the emission from the gas interacting with the radio jet and the emission from the non shocked ambient gas. The very different properties of these two gaseous components show the important effects of the interaction on the physical, morphological, ionization and kinematic properties of the gas.

References

1. Chambers *et al.*, 1987, *Nature*, 329, 604
2. McCarthy *et al.*, 1987, *ApJ*, 319, L39
3. Clark *et al.*, 1997, *MNRAS*, 286, 558
4. Villar-Martín *et al.*, 1998, *MNRAS*, submitted
5. Osterbrock., 1989, *The Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei*
6. Binette L. *et al.*, 1996, *A&A*, 312, 365